UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,501	07/10/2006	Luc Uytterhaeghe	Q90189	7745
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 11/27/200 ION. PLL.C	9	EXAM	IINER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			VOLZ, ELIZABETH J	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3781	
Wildin (GTO	11, DC 20037			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/549,501	UYTTERHAEGHE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ELIZABETH VOLZ	3781				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	ugust 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	x parte Quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	50 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.11.12.14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2.4-10 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2009 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION


Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 11, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0034323).
- 3. Regarding Claim 1, Smith et al. discloses a closure device formed as a single piece (Paragraph 16) by injection molding plastic material (Paragraph 39) and comprising: a fixing member (Figure 5 below) intended to cooperate with the reservoir opening (Figure 5) to form a sealed attachment, a dispensing duct (Figure 5 below) forming an outlet passage for the fluid product contained in the reservoir, said duct forming a dispensing orifice (Figure 5 below), a closing member (Figure 5 below) to close and seal said duct, said closing member being joined to the dispensing duct by at least one bridge of material (Figure 5 below) intended to be broken when the closing member is first removed, wherein said closing member comprises a sealable part (Figure 5 below) said sealable part, before sealing, leaving the dispensing orifice clear (Figure 8) before the first removal of the closing member and, after sealing, closing the dispensing orifice in sealed manner (Figure 5).

Art Unit: 3781



- 4. Regarding Claim 3, Smith et al. discloses a sealable part (Figure 5 above) which is deformable and can be welded to itself (Figure 5).
- 5. Regarding Claim 11, Smith et al. discloses a duct (Figure 5 above) and a closing member (Figure 5 above) are provided with snap-fit means 29 (Figure 5) intended to cooperate after the breaking of said at least one bridge of material (Figure 5 above).

Art Unit: 3781

6. Regarding Claim 12, Smith et al. discloses a snap-fit means 29 (Figure 5) which forms a sealing means closing the duct (Figure 5).

- 7. Regarding Claim 14, Smith et al. discloses leaving the dispensing orifice (Figure 5 above) clear (Figure 8) and closing the dispensing orifice in a sealed manner each represent a separate status for the sealing member when the bridge is still intact.
- 8. Regarding Claim 15, Smith et al. discloses a sealable part (Figure 5 above) which provides a structure (Figure 5) in which the fluid product reservoir is able to be filled when the closing member is attached to the fluid product reservoir.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2, 4-10 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3781

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELIZABETH VOLZ whose telephone number is (571) 270-5430. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/E. V./ Examiner, Art Unit 3781 /Anthony Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781